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**Abstract**

The popularity, widespread use and increasing dependency on wireless technologies has spawned a [telecommunications](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/earth-and-planetary-sciences/telecommunication) industrial revolution with increasing public exposure to broader and higher frequencies of the [electromagnetic spectrum](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/earth-and-planetary-sciences/electromagnetic-spectra) to transmit data through a variety of devices and infrastructure. On the horizon, a new generation of even shorter high frequency 5G wavelengths is being proposed to power the Internet of Things (IoT). The IoT promises us convenient and easy lifestyles with a massive 5G interconnected telecommunications network, however, the expansion of [broadband](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/earth-and-planetary-sciences/broadband) with shorter wavelength radiofrequency radiation highlights the concern that [health and safety](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/earth-and-planetary-sciences/health-and-safety) issues remain unknown. Controversy continues with regards to harm from current 2G, 3G and 4G wireless technologies. 5G technologies are far less studied for human or [environmental effects](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/earth-and-planetary-sciences/environmental-effect).

It is argued that the addition of this added high frequency 5G radiation to an already complex mix of lower frequencies, will contribute to a negative public health outcome both from both physical and mental health perspectives.

Radiofrequency radiation (RF) is increasingly being recognized as a new form of environmental pollution. Like other common toxic exposures, the effects of radiofrequency [electromagnetic radiation](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/earth-and-planetary-sciences/electromagnetic-radiation) (RF EMR) will be problematic if not impossible to sort out epidemiologically as there no longer remains an unexposed control group. This is especially important considering these effects are likely magnified by synergistic toxic exposures and other common health risk behaviors. Effects can also be non-linear. Because this is the first generation to have cradle-to-grave lifespan exposure to this level of man-made microwave (RF EMR) radiofrequencies, it will be years or decades before the true health consequences are known. Precaution in the roll out of this [new technology](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/earth-and-planetary-sciences/new-technology) is strongly indicated.

This article will review relevant [electromagnetic](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/earth-and-planetary-sciences/electromagnetism) frequencies, exposure standards and current scientific literature on the health implications of 2G, 3G, 4G exposure, including some of the available literature on 5G frequencies. The question of what constitutes a public health issue will be raised, as well as the need for a precautionary approach in advancing new wireless technologies.